

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 9015.145US01 10/086,993 02/28/2002 Gail Beth Bynum 6334 7590 06/10/2003 MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. **EXAMINER** P.O. Box 2903 DESANTO, MATTHEW F Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3763 DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					AA A
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	<i>(</i> V V (
Office Action Summary		10/086,993		BYNUM, GAIL BE	:TH
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE - EAL:	Matthew F DeSar		3763	dross
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover	sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	uress
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re earned	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staticatively received by the Office later than three months after the maind dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howe apply within the statutory mini ad will apply and will expire Soute. cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status 1\⊠	Pagnancive to communication(s) filed on 2	P Enhance 2002			•
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26		201		
2a)☐	•—	This action is non-fir		raccoution on to th	a marita ia
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under				e mems is
Disposition	on of Claims		•		
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from considera	ation.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ Applicatio	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction and/c on Papers	or election requireme	ent.		
9) 🔲 T	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[] T	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	d b)⊡ disappro	oved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office act	ion.		
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				,
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rece	ived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rece	ived in Applicati	on No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the preparation of the international left the attached detailed Office action for a life. 	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		•		application).
•	The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •			
Attachment	-	one phoney under o	0 0.0.0. 33 120	and or ret.	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary	y (PTO-413) Paper No	(s)
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		Patent Application (PT	
S. Patent and Tro PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 5	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/086,993

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13 are drawn to a pump cap, cartridge and safety cap, classified in class 604, subclass 131.
 - II. Claims 14-26 are drawn to a motor, a drive rod, cartridge and a safety cap, classified in class 604, subclass 151.
 - III. Claims 27-36 are drawn to a cartridge and a pump cap, classified in class604, subclass 65.
 - IV. Claims 37-41 are drawn to a safety cap, classified in class 604, subclass263.
 - V. Claims 42-44 are drawn to method of using a pump with a safety cap, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I, III, IV are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination has a motor and a drive rod. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used in an automatic pen injector.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/086,993

Art Unit: 3763

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not need the inner and outer portion of the pump cap. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used in an automatic pen injector.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not need to the safety cap with a first and second end defining an opening as well as where the safety cap reversibly attaches to the pump cap. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used on an automatic pen injector.
- 5. Inventions V and I, II, III, IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be performed by an entire different apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/086,993

Art Unit: 3763

as along as the apparatus has a safety cap attached to a pump, not specifically the one claimed in the applicant.

- 6. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as being used as a cap, while invention III is a medical pump. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

PLEASE ELECT ONE SPECIES FOR EACH GROUP

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group 1: Species A, B, C - Figures 4, 9, 21, respectively

Group 2: Species L, M – Figures 1 or 10, respectively

Group 3: Species Y, Z – Figures 17 or 38, respectively

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no allowable and generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/086,993

Art Unit: 3763

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1-703-872-9302 for regular communications and 1-703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763

June 6, 2003

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700